

Exploring Behavioral Biases in Retail Investment Decisions: An Analytical Study of Investor Psychology

*Dr. Varshini S K
Assistant Professor
Postgraduate department of Business
Administration
Postal Address:
Seshadripuram College, Nagappa street,
Seshadripuram, Bangalore: 560020.
Mail ID: varshask81@gmail.com*

*Dr. Srinivasan Pazhamalai
Associate Professor, Faculty of
Management Studies, CMS Business
School, JAIN (Deemed-to-be
University), Bangalore
Mail ID: srinivasaneco@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT

This study examines how behavioral biases influence the investment decisions of retail investors in Indian financial markets. With rapid growth in individual market participation and easy access to digital trading platforms, there is a clear gap between rational finance theory and actual investor behaviour. The primary objective is to identify the most prominent behavioral biases among retail investors and analyse how these biases shape portfolio choices, risk perceptions, and decision-making outcomes.

The research is based on primary data collected from retail investors representing diverse age groups, educational backgrounds, income levels, and investment experience. A structured questionnaire was used to capture the presence and intensity of key behavioral biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, herding, anchoring, confirmation bias, mental accounting, and related psychological tendencies. Descriptive statistics and suitable analytical tools were employed to examine patterns in investor behaviour and to explore the association between demographic characteristics and specific biases influencing investment decisions.

The findings reveal that multiple behavioral biases operate simultaneously and have a significant impact on investment behaviour. Loss aversion, herding behaviour, and overconfidence emerge as particularly dominant, leading investors to hold on to losing

positions, follow market sentiment blindly, trade excessively, and overestimate their own knowledge and skills. Anchoring and confirmation bias further distort information processing by making investors cling to initial reference points and selectively focus on data that supports prior beliefs, thereby reinforcing suboptimal choices.

The study underscores the importance of strengthening investor education and awareness on behavioral finance concepts. Encouraging disciplined decision-making frameworks, promoting long-term goal-oriented investing, and integrating behavioral insights into advisory services can help retail investors manage emotional influences more effectively and improve financial outcomes.

(Keywords: Behavioral finance; Retail investors; Behavioral biases; Overconfidence; Loss aversion; Herd behaviour; Anchoring; Confirmation bias; Mental accounting; Investment decisions.)

Introduction

Investment decisions play a crucial role in shaping the financial future of individuals and the broader economy. For retail investors, these decisions are influenced not only by financial knowledge and market conditions but also by emotions and psychological factors. Traditional finance theories assume that investors are rational and base their decisions purely on information and logical reasoning. In reality, however, investors are often guided by feelings, past experiences, and cognitive shortcuts, which can lead to irrational and inconsistent choices. This recognition has given rise to behavioral finance, a field that integrates psychology with finance to explain why investors deviate from purely rational models of decision-making.

Behavioral biases are systematic errors in judgment that distort how investors perceive information and evaluate alternatives. These biases can cause investors to buy overvalued assets, hold on to losing investments for too long, or follow market trends without independent analysis. Retail investors are especially vulnerable because they may lack formal financial training and tend to rely on emotions, market rumours, social media, or informal advice rather than rigorous analytical tools. As a result, their

decisions are often driven by perceptions, confidence levels, and reactions to short-term market movements instead of objective evaluation of fundamentals.

Several well-documented biases commonly influence retail investors. Overconfidence leads investors to overestimate their knowledge and underestimate risks, encouraging frequent trading and speculative positions. Herd behaviour pushes individuals to follow the crowd, buying when others buy and selling when others sell, which can amplify bubbles and crashes. Loss aversion makes the pain of losses feel more intense than the pleasure of equivalent gains, causing investors to hold losing positions or avoid attractive but risky opportunities. Anchoring bias causes fixation on specific reference points, such as purchase price or past highs, even when new information suggests a different valuation. Confirmation bias drives investors to seek information that supports their existing beliefs while discounting contradictory evidence. Collectively, these mental shortcuts can significantly affect portfolio performance and investment satisfaction.

In today's information-rich environment, behavioural biases continue to exert a powerful influence on investment outcomes. Despite advances in technology and financial literacy initiatives, many retail investors still react emotionally to market volatility, economic news, and peer influence. Episodes such as social-media-driven rallies and panic selling during downturns illustrate how sentiment can overwhelm analysis. Recognising these patterns is therefore essential for improving financial decision-making. For investors, awareness of biases can support more disciplined strategies, such as setting clear goals, following predefined rules, and focusing on long-term objectives rather than short-term noise. For financial institutions and policymakers, insights from behavioural finance help in designing investor education programmes, advisory services, and regulatory measures that account for real-world behaviour rather than idealised rationality. Against this backdrop, the present study seeks to examine how key behavioural biases affect retail investors' decisions, identify which biases are most prevalent, and highlight ways to foster more informed, rational, and confident investment behaviour in an increasingly uncertain market environment.

Theoretical Background of the study

Behavioral finance explains how emotions, cognitive errors, and social influences cause investors to deviate from rational decision-making, leading to market anomalies such as bubbles and crashes. It highlights that investors rely on mental shortcuts, experience cognitive dissonance, and respond emotionally to news, social media, and peer actions, which shape sentiment and behaviour. Prospect theory deepens this view by showing that people evaluate outcomes relative to reference points and feel losses more intensely than equivalent gains, producing loss aversion and risk-seeking behaviour in losses. A range of specific biases affect retail investors: overconfidence leads to excessive trading and misjudgment of skill; herd behaviour drives individuals to follow the crowd and fuels bubbles and crashes; loss aversion makes investors hold losers too long and become overly conservative; confirmation bias causes them to seek only supporting information and ignore contradictory evidence; mental accounting fragments their finances into “mental buckets,” distorting risk and allocation decisions; anchoring ties judgments to irrelevant reference points like purchase prices or past highs; regret aversion creates decision paralysis and excessive caution; and representativeness bias makes investors see false patterns, overreact to recent performance, and rely on stereotypes rather than fundamentals. Understanding these biases is crucial for investors, advisors, and policymakers to design education, decision frameworks, and analytical practices that promote more rational, disciplined, and goal-aligned investment behaviour.

Review of Literature

The literature shows that behavioral biases significantly influence retail investors' decisions, often overriding rational analysis. Key biases such as loss aversion, herding, overconfidence, and anchoring are found to be most impactful, with loss aversion and herding dominating (Gupta, 2025; Sharma & Patel, 2025; Desai et al., 2024). Demographic factors, emotional intelligence, and risk perception further shape these behaviors (Rai, 2024; Anand & Sharma, 2023; Ahmed et al., 2022). External elements like social media and market conditions also amplify biases, leading to irrational decisions and poor investment outcomes (Goyal & Yadav, 2024; Srivastava & Tandan, 2025). Overall, the studies highlight the need for better financial literacy and awareness to reduce bias-driven decisions (Shukla & Jain, 2025).

The literature highlights key gaps in understanding how multiple behavioral biases interact simultaneously and vary across diverse Indian markets. There is limited longitudinal research on how investor behavior has evolved, especially after digital transformation and the COVID-19 pandemic. Studies also lack practical strategies to reduce biases and insufficiently address emerging segments like young and tech-savvy investors. Additionally, gaps exist in analyzing gender differences, cultural influences, and the role of behavioral biases in sustainable investing.

Investment decisions of retail investors are often influenced by biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and herding, leading to irrational choices and poor outcomes. Despite increased market participation, there is limited clarity on how these biases affect decision-making. This study aims to identify key biases, understand their impact, and examine their effect on investment behavior.

Objectives

To examine whether Loss Aversion and Representativeness Bias significantly influence risk perception and investment decisions.

Research Methodology

□ **Type of Study:** The research adopts a descriptive and analytical approach to identify, describe, and analyze behavioral biases and their patterns among retail investors.

□ **Sources of Data:**

- *Primary Data:* Collected through structured questionnaires distributed via Google Forms to active retail investors.
- *Secondary Data:* Sourced from academic journals, books, research papers, industry reports, financial databases, websites, and government publications.

□ **Sampling Plan:**

- *Sampling Unit:* Individual retail investors participating in financial markets.
- *Sample Size:* 100 respondents.
- *Sampling Technique:* Convenience sampling using an online survey method.

□ **Tools and Techniques of Data Collection:**

- *Survey Method:* Structured questionnaire using a Likert scale (strongly disagree to strongly agree) to measure investor attitudes and biases.
- *Observation:* Analysis of response patterns to identify behavioral trends and consistency in investment behavior.
- *Focus Groups:* Informal discussions with investors to gain qualitative insights supporting survey findings.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

| Hypot hesis | Statement | | |
|-------------------------|---|------------------|----------|
| H ₀ | Loss aversion does not significantly affect risk perception | | |
| H ₁ | Loss aversion does significantly affect risk perception (leading to conservative choices) | | |
| Variable | Me an | S D | Variance |
| Loss Aversion (Q7) | 3.8 5 | 1 . 0 4 | 1.09 |
| Risk Perception(Q14) | 3.8 0 | 1 . 0 3 | 1.06 |
| Calculation | | Value | |
| Pearson Correlation (r) | | 0.94 | |
| p-value | | <0.001 | |

Interpretation: A very strong positive correlation shows loss aversion clearly

influences risk perception, making investors more conservative. The result is highly significant, so we reject the null hypothesis.

| Hypothesis | Statement | | |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| H ₀ | Representativeness bias does not significantly affect investment decisions | | |
| H ₁ | Representativeness bias does significantly affect investment decisions (pattern recognition) | | |
| Variable | Mean | SD | Variance |
| Representativeness Bias | 3.70 | 1.14 | 1.29 |
| Pattern Recognition | 3.67 | 1.11 | 1.24 |
| Calculation | | Value | |
| Pearson Correlation (r) | | 0.89 | |
| p-value | | <0.001 | |

Interpretation: There is a strong positive correlation, indicating representativeness bias significantly impacts investment decisions through pattern recognition, rejecting the null hypothesis.

Summary of findings

The study shows that most respondents are young (20–30 years), educated, and moderately experienced investors, with a slight male dominance. Wealth creation is the main goal, and most investors exhibit moderate risk tolerance. Behavioral biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, anchoring, herding, and recency bias strongly influence decisions, while emotions like fear, regret, and stress significantly impact investment behavior. A majority agree that emotions often outweigh logic, and social media, peer influence, and market trends further shape decisions. Despite education levels, psychological factors affect all investors, with younger investors more prone to

anchoring and older investors more sensitive to losses. Overall, behavioral finance awareness is positively viewed as a way to improve decision-making.

Suggestions

Investors should be educated on behavioral finance to recognize and reduce biases. Promoting long-term planning, risk management, and disciplined strategies like stop-loss can improve decision-making. Technology-based tools and personalized advisory services can help investors track and control their behavior. Academic integration of behavioral finance, peer learning, and responsible media usage can further reduce emotional and herd-driven decisions. Diversification and regular portfolio review are also recommended for better financial outcomes.

Conclusions

The study concludes that investment decisions are largely influenced by emotions and psychological biases rather than pure logic. Biases such as loss aversion, overconfidence, and herd behavior affect all types of investors. However, awareness, education, and disciplined strategies can help investors manage these biases effectively. Successful investing requires both financial knowledge and emotional control, enabling investors to make rational decisions and achieve long-term financial goals.

References

1. Agarwal, S. (2021). Behavioral finance and its impact on investment decisions among investors in Bikaner. *Journal of Finance and Investment*, 15(2), 103-117.
2. Anand, R., & Sharma, L. (2023). Cognitive biases and emotional intelligence: Effects on investment decisions in Indian stock markets. *Indian Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 7(1), 45-60.
3. Ahlawat, R., & Bhatia, P. (2024). Understanding behavioral biases and their impact on investment mistakes. *Journal of Financial Psychology*, 8(3), 112-127.
4. Aggarwal, S., & Kumar, M. (2022). Impact of behavioral finance on investment decisions: A study among faculty members in Hyderabad. *Indian Journal of Management Studies*, 9(1), 77-91.
5. Charumathi, D., & Krishnan, S. (2024). Behavioral finance insights into sustainable investment practices among salaried individuals in India. *Journal of Sustainable*

Finance, 6(2), 88-105.

6. Chandra, M., & Verma, K. (2021). Retail investor psychology during COVID-19: An empirical study. *Indian Journal of Market Research*, 12(4), 97-114.
7. Desai, R., & Joshi, P. (2022). Psychological and economic factors influencing Indian capital market participants. *Journal of Behavioral Economics*, 10(2), 134-150.
8. Khanna, V., & Gupta, A. (2023). Emotional quotient and investor decision-making: Behavioral finance approach in Vijayawada city. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 16(1), 56-70.
9. Lathia, J., Padme, S., & Ramakrishna, S. (2024). Retail investor psychology: Demographic influences and macroeconomic impacts. *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 9(1), 22-38.
10. Masand, S., & Chandnani, M. (2022). Impact of behavioral finance on stock market decisions among Bikaner investors. *Financial Markets Review*, 14(3), 59-76.
11. Nain, A., & Kumar, V. (2025). Psychological and social biases affecting investment decisions of retail investors in India. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 18(2), 101-118.

12. Nag, N. (2024). Examining loss aversion in India's socio-economic context: A behavioral economics perspective. *Asian Journal of Economics and Finance*, 11(1), 35-49.
13. Pandey, S., & Singh, H. (2022). Cognitive biases influencing investment decision- making among young Indian investors. *Journal of Financial Psychology*, 7(4), 143- 158.
14. Rai, R. (2024). Behavioral biases and investment decisions: A study among Indian stock market investors. *Indian Journal of Market Studies*, 13(2), 44-60.
15. Rajan, K., & Menon, P. (2021). Loss aversion and sustainable investment behaviour in India. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 5(3), 199-215.
16. Sharma, R., & Patel, M. (2025). Role of behavioral finance in investment decision- making among Indian investors. *Journal of Behavioral Economics*, 11(1), 78-94.
17. Singh, P., & Mehta, A. (2025). Cognitive biases affecting investment choices: A study among tech-savvy Indian investors. *Indian Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 9(1), 65-81.
18. Srivastava, M., & Tandan, P. (2025). Investment objectives and risk-taking behaviours among Indian retail investors. *Journal of Indian Financial Markets*, 20(1), 50-68.
19. A Study on Behavioral Biases of Retail Investors on Investment Decisions. (2025). Unpublished manuscript.
20. IOSCO. (2023). The application of behavioural insights to retail investor protection. International Organization of Securities Commissions. <https://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf/IOSCOPD626.pdf>